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#### Abstract

IMPROVING THE STUDENTS' READING COMPREHENSION ABILITY THROUGH THINK PAIR SHARE TECHNIQUE AT THE ELEVENTH GRADE OF MAN 1 LAMPUNG TIMUR IN THE ACADEMIC YEAR 2017/2018

BY:

\section*{SITI RODIAH}


The purposes of this research are to show that using Think Pair Share Technique can improve the students' reading comprehension ability and students' learning activities at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur in the academic year 2017/2018.The researcher had outlined the problem in this research that focused on reading comprehension ability. It is related on the problem identification that the students have low motivation to learn English especially in reading, they get the difficulties to comprehend the main idea and information from the text, and they are also not interested about the learning technique in the class. They always feel bored in reading subject.

This research was classroom action research that consisted of two cycles. The researcher was conducted at MAN 1 Lampung Timur on XI IPS 3 class which consisted of 31 students. The data collecting technique used test, documentation, observation and field note. Regarding to the research process, the researcher gave the pre-test for the students to know ther reading comprehension ability score. Then, the researcher gave the treatment for the students. In addition, the change of the students' comprehension ability score could be known through post test that had been given in every cycle. The test consisted 20 items of multiple choice forms.

The result of the research shows the average of the students' score in pretest was 63 and post test 1 was 73 and post test 2 in cycle II was gained the average score was 77. The condition of the class was getting better. The students were more active in English learning process. Think Pair Share (TPS) technique is effective in improving the students' reading comprehension ability at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur.

# ABSTRAK <br> MENINGKATKAN KEMAMPUAN PEMAHAMAN MEMBACA PADA SISWA MELALUI TEKNIK THINK PAIR SHARE DI KELAS SEBELAS MAN 1 LAMPUNG TIMUR TAHUN AKADEMIK 2017/2018 

Oleh:

SITI RODIAH

Tujuan dari penelitian ini adalah untuk menunjukkan bahwa penggunaan Think Pair Share (TPS) teknik dapat meningkatkan kemampuan pemahaman membaca dan aktifitas pembelajaran pada siswa kelas sebelas MAN 1 Lampung Timur tahun pelajaran 2017/2018. Permasalahan yang diangkat oleh peneliti dalam penelitian ini berkaitan dengan kemampuan pemahaman membaca. Hal ini berdasarkan pada identifikasi masalah yang menjelaskan bahwa siswa mempunyai motivasi rendah untuk belajar bahasa inggris khususnya materi membaca, mereka mengalami kesulitan dalam memahami topik utama dan informasi pada teks, dan mereka tidak tertarik terhadap teknik yang digunakan dikelas. Mereka selalu bosan pada saat materi membaca bahasa inggris.

Penelitian ini menggunakan Penelitian Tindakan Kelas (PTK) yang terdiri dari 2 siklus. Penelitian ini dilaksanakan di MAN 1 Lampung Timur pada kelas XI IPS 3 yang terdiri dari 31 siswa. Teknik pengumpulan data pada penelitian ini menggunakan teknik test, dokumentasi, observasi dan catatan lapangan. Tahapan tahapan dalam proses penelitian yaitu peneliti memberikan pre-test kepada siswa untuk mengetahui kemampuan pemahaman membaca siswa. Kemudian, peneliti memberikan tindakan kepada siswa dalam setiap siklusnya. Perubahan nilai dari siswa akan diketahui melalui hasil post test yang dilakukan dalam setiap siklusnya. Test ini terdiri dari 20 soal berbentuk pilihan ganda.

Selanjutnya, berdasarkan penelitian yang telah dilakukan, peneliti mendapatkan hasil penelitian dengan nilai rata-rata pre-test adalah 63, post test I adalah 73 dan post test 2 pada siklus II adalah 77. Kondisi kelas menjadi jauh lebih baik. Siswa-siswa menjadi lebih aktif untuk mengikuti proses pembelajaran bahasa inggris. Think Pair Share (TPS) adalah teknik yang efektif dalam meningkatkan kemampuan pemahaman membaca dan aktifitas pembelajaran siswa pada kelas XI MAN 1 Lampung Timur.
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## CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

## A. Background of the Study

Reading has an important role in learning process because the students success in learning other subjects is very much determined by the high degree of mastering reading. Therefore, teacher should try to make reading interesting, enjoyable, meaningful and challenging.

Reading is about understanding written text. It is a complex activity that involves both perception and thought. Reading consists of two related processes word recognition and comprehension. Word recognition refers to the process of perceiving how written symbols correspond to one's spoken language. Comprehension is the process of making sense of words, sentences and connected text. Readers typically make use of background knowledge, vocabulary, grammatical knowledge, experience with text and other strategies to help them understand written text. What we know about reading is mostly based on studies conducted in English.

After doing observation in the classroom of the eleventh grade students of MAN 1 Lampung Timur, the researcher found the problems of the students in reading comprehension. It is hard to understand texts. They had difficulty to answer questions following the text, students' vocabulary is lack, the reading teaching and learning activities is more teacher-centered in a way that the reading instruction is based on what the teachers tell, the reading teaching and
learning process is sometimes boring, students are not motivated to do it and sometimes they just keep silent listening to what the teacher is reading. Finally, students are seldom engaged in cooperative and interesting work. Besides, they did not participate actively in classroom activities. In other words, the students' ability was still low in reading comprehension.

From the problems of reading above, the researcher would like to use one of the teaching strategies that can be applied in teaching learning. One of the effective instructional techniques in teaching learning is think pair share.

Think pair share is a mul ti-mode discussion cycle in which students listen to a question or presentation, have time to think individually, talk with each other in pairs, and finally share responses with the larger group. It means that this technique make the students feel enjoy when they read the text because they read and do the task with their partner. So, they can discuss together to answer the question. Beside that, various research have shown that especially at the primary, secondary and university level that Think-Pair -Share technique is effective in learning process of theoretical course, in the development of critical thinking process of students, not only in their ability to express themselves, but also in their communication skill.

Based on the pre-survey, the researcher found many students at Eleventh Grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur has difficulties in reading or comprehend the text. This is the table of pre-survey from Eleventh Grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur:

## Table 1

Pre-Survey Test Score

| No | Students' Names | Score | Interpretation |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A. N. | 40 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 2 | A.S. | 25 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 3 | A. M. | 60 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 4 | A. R. | 30 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 5 | A. P. | 35 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 6 | A. | 80 | Complete |  |  |  |
| 7 | A. N. | 20 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 8 | D. K. | 65 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 9 | E. F. | 55 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 10 | F. L. | 25 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 11 | F. M. | 45 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 12 | H. A. | 60 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 13 | I. S. | 65 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 14 | I. R. | 40 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 15 | K. K. | 50 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 16 | M. F. | 50 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 17 | M. N. | 70 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 18 | N. E. | 15 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 19 | R. F. | 70 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 20 | R. R. | 60 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 21 | R. M. | 55 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 22 | R. R. | 65 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 23 | S. M. | 35 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 24 | S. L. | 20 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 25 | S. S. | 35 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 26 | S. S. | 25 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 27 | T. P. | 25 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 28 | T. I. | 40 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 29 | T. A. | 35 | Incomplete |  |  |  |
| 30 | Y. P. | 80 | Complete |  |  |  |
| 31 | Z. R. | $\mathbf{1 5 4 0}$ | Complete |  |  |  |
|  | Total |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Average |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{4 5 9}$ |  |  |  |  |

Table 2.

The students' score result

At the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur

| No | Grade | Category | Frequency | Percentage (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $>75$ | Complete | 3 | $9,68 \%$ |
| 2 | $<75$ | Incomplete | 28 | $90,32 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |  |  |  |  |

Source: The result data of reading test at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur has been conducted on March $27^{\text {th }} 2017$.

The table above represents that only 3 students from 31 students get good score (passed) in reading test. The minimum mastery criteria (KKM) for English in MAN 1 Lampung Timur is 75 . It can be seen that 28 students belong to be failed for the score $<75$ and only 3 students can do well in reading test. It can be explained that 28 students have low reading comprehension abilities.

Based on the problems above, the reseacher would intend to conduct the study of using Think Pair Share technique to improve Student's Reading Comprehension at Eleventh Grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur.

## B. Problem Identification

Regarding to the background of the study, the researcher identified the problems as follows:

1. The students have the difficulties to improve their reading comprehension ability.
2. The students have the difficulties to get main information from the text because they have lack vocabulary mastery.
3. The students have low motivation in reading subject because the teaching and learning activities is more teacher centered.
4. The students' feel bored or they are not interest to study English especially in reading subject.

## C. Problem Limitation

Concerning with the background of the study and problem identification above, the researcher takes the problem limitation on this research. The researcher would be focused on the students of the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur find the difficulties to improve their reading comprehension ability.

## D. Problem Formulation

Based on the explanation above, the researcher formulates the problems in this research are:

1. Can Think Pair Share (TPS) technique improve the reading comprehension ability at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur?
2. Can Think Pair Share (TPS) technique improve the students' learning activity at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur?

## E. Objective and Benefits of the Study

## 1. The objective of the study

The objective of this research is to show that Think Pair Share technique can be used to improve the students' reading comprehension at eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur.

## 2. The benefits of the study

The results of this research might be useful, as follows:
a. For the student

The research intends to show that think-pair-share in teaching reading comprehension will give good impact to the students. Students optimize their reading comprehension and more motivate in learning English.
b. For the teacher

The result is expected to be useful for the researcher herself and for the entire English teacher who might use this technique when they teach reading.
c. For the researcher

By this research, the researcher will improve the students' reading comprehension, so the optimal result of teaching process can be achieved.

## BAB II

## THEORETICAL REVIEW

## A. The Concept of Reading Comprehension Ability

## 1. The Definition of Reading Comprehension Ability

Reading English text is important for us because in reading English text the students get knowledge and information. It is not easy moreover complecated English text. The students need more understanding about main ideas of the reading text. If we read a lot of books about reading, we will find that there are some explanation about reading.

According to Jeremy Harmer, reading is an exercise dominated by the eyes and the brain. The eyes receive message and the brain then has to work out the significance of these message. ${ }^{1}$

Moreover, Grabe defines that Reading is a process when readers learn something from what they read and involve it in an academic context as a part of education. In the classroom, some teachers often use texts or books as media to deliver material of a subject. Furthermore, the teacher asks the students to read the texts to grab information in order that they could understand the material. ${ }^{2}$

Base on the quotations above, the researcher can make a conclusion that reading is an activity which the readers do to get information from what

[^0]they read. Reading is used to ease people get information from the text, book, magazine, newspaper, and other.

Then, Alexander argues that comprehension is a special kind of thinking process. ${ }^{3}$ The reader comprehends by actively constructing meaning internally from interacting with the material that is read. There are two kinds of comprehension. First, the literal comprehension in which the reader is getting only literal meaning, that is receiving and understanding only what the author has said. This kind of reading tends to be receptively and passively because there is no process of efforts to dig out the deeper meaning. Second, the implied comprehension, that is, reading beyond or between the lines when the reader is doing the action, he brings his knowledge and experience to the act of reading, draws inferences and applies reading to life situations. It means that he is reading actively where there is an interchange of ideas with the author. Good readers read for mainly means that they do not look at all of every sentence for they can understand more than the sentences they read. Good readers can unite their purpose with the author's.

In other words, Comprehension is the process of deriving meaning from connected text. It involves word knowledge (vocabulary) as well as think and reasoning. Therefore, comprehension is not a passive process, but an active one. The reader actively engages with the text to construct the meaning. This active engagement includes making use of prior knowledge.

[^1]It involves drawing inferences from the words and expressions that a writer uses to communicate information, ideas and view points. Recent studies have focus on how readers use their knowledge and reasoning to understand the texts.

Moreover, reading comprehension has been argued by Graham that reading comprehension involves much more than readers' responses to text. ${ }^{4}$ Reading comprehension is a multi component, highly complex process that involvesmany interactions between readers and what they bring to the text as well as variables related to the text itself. Besides, reading in this study refers to comprehensive reading at the process of understanding written text. Knowledge is the basic element for the comprehension. It is related to what they do not know about information to they have already known. Reading really depends on some information through the eyes to the brain.

Furthermore, Brown defines reading comprehension is affected by schema and background knowledge of the readers. ${ }^{5}$ In addition, affective and cultural factors also play a major role in motivating and rewarding people in literacy. The interest of reading has stimulated the learners to be successful in comprehending the reading materials.

From the definition above, it can be concluded reading comprehension can be defined as the process in which the readers construct meaning from

[^2]a text connected to the background knowledge they have to get the clear understanding of the writer's message.

Furthermore, the word ability in Oxford Advanced Learner's Dictionary can be defined as a power required to do something. ${ }^{6}$ Reading is specific abilities which enable a reader to read written from as meaningfull language to read all written indepedently, comprehensionly and fluently. It is specific reader's ability to understand the text and the meaning. Then, Jean Wallace Gillet and Charles Temple said that reading ability in foreign language is a measure of one's general knowledge. ${ }^{7}$ In other word reading ability should aim to increas vocabulary mastery. That reading ability is the search for meaning, actively using our knowledge of the word to understand each new thing we read. It means that reading ability is ability to understand meaning aim and purpose of the text by finding the suitable meaning bassed on intuition and diction of the text.

Finally, it can be said that reading comprehension ability is the students' ability in comprehending the content of the text. By using this ability, the students can gather any new information and knowledge. It can be done by relating their background knowledge to the writer's idea and information drawn from the written text. The teacher can improve their students' reading comprehension ability by using appropriate teaching reading technique to the students' habits, interests, and characteristic.

[^3]
## 2. Factors Affecting Reading Comprehension

There are many ideas of the factors affecting reading comprehension proposed by some experts. Below four factors affecting reading comprehension ability are presented. ${ }^{8}$
a. Background knowledge of the text

Students' background knowledge of the text is one of the factors affecting reading comprehension. Readers understand what they read because they are able to take the stimulus beyond the graphic representation and assign it membership to an appropriate group of concepts already stored in their memories. Here, the students' background knowledge is important since the students start to make connections about what they already know in order to construct meaning.
b. Affection

Affection factor includes the students' interest, motivation, attitudes and beliefs. The affective factors have an important role in influencing what is understood by the readers.
c. Purpose of reading

Efficient reading consists of clearly identifying the purpose in reading. Purposes of reading help the reader to focus on information that they want to find out. A reader can have problems in understanding a text if he reads with no particular purpose in mind.

[^4]
## d. Vocabulary Mastery

Vocabulary mastery is essential to reading comprehension. ${ }^{9}$ It is impossible to understand the text if the readers do not know much about a significant number of the words in the text. By mastering much vocabulary, the reader can construct the meaning of the text easily.

From the theories above, it can be concluded that reading comprehension is not a single process. It is a complex process which is affected by some factors such as the reader's background knowledge of the text, the reader's motivation and interest in reading, the reader's purpose of reading, and the reader's vocabulary mastery. All of those factors relate to each other in influencing the reader's reading comprehension ability.

## 3. The Levels of Reading Comprehension Ability

According to Alice C. Omagio in Sanggam's book, there are four different levels of proficiency readers as follows: ${ }^{10}$
a. Novice-level reader

Novice-level reader, the readers that are able to recognize the writing symbol, words and expression that is used in some texts containing general topics of their common experiences, such as basic classroom objects, colors, numbers, telling times and dialogues with a comprehension at minimal except for simple memorized material.

[^5]
## b. Intermediate-level reader

Intermediate-level reader, the readers those are able to find the main gist, key ideas and some supporting details of narrative text types on familiar topics such as simple instructions, general information, meeting arrangements, well with some common misunderstanding of finer point.
c. Advanced-level reader

Advanced-level reader refers to the reader that has capability to comprehend main ideas, most supporting details of the abstract and factual topics with familiar context in description, narration and non technical prose which contain newspaper accounts, directions, academic texts, current events, press, politics, economics, with an enhancement of detail comprehension, but still not totally precise.
d. Superior-level reader

Superior-level reader refers to the readers that have capability to comprehend most materials on concrete and abstract topics, get main idea and most of supporting details, and understand new vocabulary in context through contextual guessing strategies.

## 4. Problems of Teaching Reading Comprehension Ability

Teaching reading is a part of the activity in teaching English that must be done by the teacher. Some teachers find problems in teaching reading comprehension. The first problem is that the teacher cannot know exactly
the students' prior knowledge. ${ }^{11}$ Although the teacher has taught some materials which are related to the topic that will be discussed, she or he cannot make sure that all the students can understand the material well. It becomes a serious problem in teaching reading comprehension as prior knowledge is very important to the students' reading comprehension.

Teachers find the fact that deciding suitable tasks of reading are complicated. ${ }^{12}$ It is reasonable enough as tasks will influence the students in comprehending a text. When the teacher can give good and suitable tasks of reading, the students will engage in reading and comprehension can be easily achieved. Indeed, appropriate tasks and texts help students in understanding texts.

Another problem that might be faced by the teacher is that teachers feel that finding the best technique to teach the students is quite difficult. It is because they face students with different characteristics and levels of intelligence. In fact, the technique chosen by the teacher may affect the students in achieving their reading comprehension. That is why suitable technique is needed to conduct by teachers in teaching reading comprehension.

From the description above, it is clear that the condition of not knowing exactly the students' prior knowledge, the difficulty in designing suitable tasks, and the difficulty in choosing the appropriate technique is problems which appear in teaching reading comprehension. In relation to the last

[^6]problem, the difficulty in choosing appropriate technique, the researcher feels necessary to apply certain technique in the teaching of reading comprehension.

## 5. Measurement of Reading Comprehension Ability

To know the achievement of reading comprehension ability should be measured by using the assessment of reading. There are the measurements of reading comprehension related to Grenall and Swan, as follows: ${ }^{13}$

Table 3.
The Measurement of Reading Comprehension Ability

| No. | Criteria | Score |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Students can identify the meaning of the <br> ideas in the text | $0-25$ |
| 2. | Students can identify the communicative <br> purpose of the text | $0-25$ |
| 3. | Students can identify main idea of the text | $0-25$ |
| 4. | Students can identify information contained <br> in the text | $0-25$ |
|  | Total |  |

## B. The Concept of Think Pair Share

## 1. Definition of Think Pair share

Think pair share is a cooperative learning discussion. It is simple technique but very useful which developed by Frank Lyman of the University of Maryland. Think pair share has grown out of the cooperative learning developed by Frank Lyman and his colleagues at the University

[^7]of Maryland. It is an effective way to change the discourse pattern in a classroom. It challenges the assumptions that all recitations or discussions need to be held in whole-group settings, and it has built-in procedures for giving students more time to think and to respond and to help eac $h$ other. ${ }^{14}$

This is a simple and quick technique; the instructor develops and poses question, gives the students a few minutes to think about a response, and then asks students to share their ideas with a partner. This task gives them opportunity to collect and organize their thoughts. "Pair" and "Share" components encourage learners to compare and contrast their understanding with those of another and to rehearse their response first in a low-risk situation before going public with the whole class. ${ }^{15}$

Furthermore, according to Himmele states that think pair share is a powerful tool, it is only as powerful as the prompt on which students are asked to reflect. ${ }^{16}$ Use prompts that require students to analyze the various points of view or the components that are inherent in your standard target. Ask questions that require students to explain how these components fit together or affect one another.

Arends states that think pair share has grown out of the cooperative learning developed by Frank Lyman and his colleagues at the University

[^8]of Maryland. ${ }^{17}$ It is an effective way to change the discourse pattern in a classroom. Suppose a teacher has just completed a short presentation or students have read an assignment or a puzzling situation the teacher has described. The teacher now wants students to consider more fully what she has explained. She chooses to use think pair share technique rather than whole-group question and answer.

It mean that Think Pair Share (TPS) can help a student makes conclusion of the reading text is read by the students. Then, the students can give opinion what the text about and critics the text. So, the students feel enjoy with their study because they can express their selves.

## 2. The Principle of Think Pair Share Technique

Johnson and johnson in slavin state five essential principle think pair share technique of cooperative learning: ${ }^{18}$
a. Positive interdependence

The main thing to create an effective cooperative learning is positive interdependence which means that every member of each group has to consider their group. In the cooperative learning, students have to be responsible for two main things which are learning the materials and ensuring that all members of group also do it. Positive interdependence occurs when students realize that they will never succeed doing certain duties if the other members get failed in doing such duties.

[^9]
## b. Promotive Interaction

Promotive interaction can be defined as an interaction in a group where every member supports each other to reach and produce something for same purposes. Promotive interaction occurs when the members of group help each other who needs help, sharing and processing the certain information effectively and efficiently, sharing their own opinion and conclusion to each other, support their own effort to each other to reach their goal, and believing to each other.
c. Individual Accountability

Everyone has to be responsible for their own duty. That is one of the elements of cooperative learning. When many members do not give their contribution and there are only some members who contribute too much then they are not responsible for the final result, it means that such group has failed in cooperating. To ensure that every member has responsible for their own job, the teacher needs to consider how many efforts every member in contributing to their group and gives the feedback and evaluation to them.
d. Interpersonal and Small Group Skill

To coordinate every effort to reach group's goals, students should understand and believe to each other, avoid the ambiguity in communication to others, support to each other; and reconcile an issue which may cause a conflict.

## e. Group Processing

Usually, an effective group work depends on how such group can reflect their own group processing. The purpose of processing refers to any instrumental events in rising the wanted aims. In cooperative learning, group processing can be defined as group reflection in; describing any action whether it helps or not, deciding any action what needs to be continued or needs to be revised. The purpose of group processing is to clarify and improve the effectiveness group working to each member to reach group aims.

## 3. The Process of Think Pair Share Technique

This technique gives the students to work individually and make interaction in pair, then share their ideas or opinions in the whole of classroom. The following process of applying the technique are:
a. The teacher divides the students into groups. Each group consists of four students.
b. The teacher distributes the reading material and its comprehensive questions to each student in the classroom.
c. The teacher gives students time for thinking their own answer. The allocation time should be appropriated with difficulties of reading material and the numbers of the questions.
d. The teacher chooses student's number. The teacher announces discussion partners. (Example: teacher chooses students 1 and 2 as the partners and the same time, students 3 and 4 discuss their idea).
e. The teacher asks the students to pair with their partners to discuss topic, solution, or opinion.
f. The teacher asks the students to discuss with other pair in the group.
g. The teacher asks the students to share their ideas or opinion in the whole of classroom after the teacher calls students randomly system.

Think-pair-share also called as multi-mode discussion. It is a learning technique that provides processing time and builds in wait-time which enhances the depth and breadth of thinking. The general idea of think pair share technique is having the students independently think or solve a problem quietly, then pair up and share their thoughts or solution with someone nearby.

## 4. The Advantages of Using the Think-Pair-Share Technique

There are some advantages of using the Think-Pair-Share technique. The benefits may affect both students and teachers. The first benefit is for students. With the Think-Pair-Share technique, students are given time to think through their own answers to the questions before the questions are answered by other peers and the discussion moves on. Students also have opportunity to think aloud with another student about their responses before being asked to share their thinking with at least one other student; in turn, increases their sense of involvement in the classroom learning.

As a Cooperative Learning strategy, the Think-Pair-Share also benefits students in the areas of peer acceptance, peer support, academic achievement, self-esteem, and increased interest in other students and
school. Students spend more time on task and listen to each other more when engaged in the Think-Pair Share technique activities. More students are willing to respond in large groups after they have been able to share their responses in pairs. The qualities of students' responses also improve.

The second is the benefits for teacher. It is a freedom for teachers to master new professional's skill, particularly those emphasizing communication.

Students can practice in peer teaching, that requires that they understand the material at deeper level than students typically do when are simply asked to produce an exam. It can increase frequency and variety of second language practice through different types of instructional. So, the teacher can develop the appropriate instruction for the students.

## C. The Use of Think Pair Share Technique to teach Reading Comprehension

## Ability

## 1. The Procedures of Think Pair Share Technique

According to Kagan, he makes a procedure of how this technique is applied. ${ }^{19}$ Firstly, teacher process to questions to the class, giving students a few minutes to think about the questions, devise individual responses, and students make brief notes about their thoughts. Then, teacher asks students to pair with another students nearby, the pairs will then talk with one another using their notes to remind them of the points they wish to make.

[^10]The last, the teacher asks the students to share their ideas or opinion in the whole of classroom after the teacher calls students randomly system.

## 2. Steps of Think Pair Share Technique

Think pair share has some steps should be followed by the teacher such as: ${ }^{20}$ Step 1: Thinking,

The teacher poses a question or an issue associated with the lesson and asks students to spend a minute thinking alone about the answer or the issue. Students need to be taught that talking is not part of thinking time. Step 2 : Pairing,

The teacher asks students to pair off and discuss what they have been thinking about. Interaction during this period can be sharing answer if a question has been posed or sharing ideas if a specific issue was identified. Usually, teachers allow no more than four or five minutes for pairing.

Step 3 : Sharing,
In the final step, the teachers asks the pairs to share what they have been talking about with the whole class. It is effective to simply go around the room from pair to pair and continue until about a fourth or half of the pairs have had a chance to report.

## D. Action Hypothesis

Based on the frame of theories and assumption above, the researcher formulates the hypothesis is that using think pair share technique can improve reading comprehension ability at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 lampung Timur.

[^11]
## CHAPTER III

## RESEARCH METHOD

## A. Setting of the Study

This research will be conducted in MAN 1 Lampung Timur where is located at Jl Kampus 38 B Banjarrejo Batanghari Lampung Timur. In this school, there are more than 70 teachers who teach several subjects. There are three departments in each grader. There are also any private dormitories for the school's students who want to be lived there.

## B. Subject of the Study

This research is held at the eleventh graders of MAN 1 Lampung Timur. The subject of the researcher is the eleventh graders of class IPS 3 the second semester. There are 34 students in the class. The researcher selects class IPS 3 because the students have low English subject especially in reading comprehension. They also have low interest in learning English.

Table 4
The Subject of The research

| Class | Sex |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IPS 3 | Male | Female | 31 |
|  | 9 | 22 |  |

## C. Research Procedure

The researcher used a Classroom Action Research (CAR) in this research. It was conducted at the Eleventh Grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur. The research would be conducted by using cycles to observe the student reading skill by using Think Pair Share (TPS) technique. It consisted of planning. Action, observation, and reflection.

According to Kemmis and Mc Taggart in David Nunan's book, action research as an integral part of teachers' professional practice has been argued for most recently and forcefully. ${ }^{21}$

Yogest Kumar Singh states that action research is a method for improving and modifying the working system of a classroom in school. ${ }^{22}$

Jeremy Harmer gave the definition of action research is the name give to a series of procedures teachers can engage in, perhaps because the wish to improve aspects of their theaching, or altemately, because they want to evaluate the success and/or appropriacy of certain activities and procedures. ${ }^{23}$

From some theories of action research that have been mentioned above, the researcher only focuses on one theory. It is according to Jeremy Harmer, the researcher can conclude his theory that action research is a procedure of teacher in the process of teaching and learning because they want to measure how far the successful of their teaching in the classroom.

[^12]Classroom action research activities consist of repeatation cycles. Each of eycle involves planning, acting, observing, and reflecting. The cycle is done by continually up to the problem can be solved.

## Figure 1

Sequences of action-reflection cycles. ${ }^{24}$


Classroom Action Research (CAR) Cycles are :

1. Cycle 1
a. Planning

Planing is the first step of this teaching context and must be prepared by the reseacher before doing action. With the planning the step will run well. The planning stage consist of the activities below :
1). Researcher identified the problems and found the problem solving.
2). The researcher made a lesson plan to teach reading.
3). The researcher prepared the material, technique, and media of teaching reading.

[^13]4). The researcher prepared observation and evaluation sheet.
b. Acting

The action was the main part of this study. In action, the researcher gave a treatment for the students to comprehend their reading skill through think-pair share technique. This part also become implementing the previous planned teaching scenario in the classroom. During the processes of improving reading comprehension the teacher gave the students a story or text. What the researcher did successively in the classroom was classified into three main activities, such as preactivities, whilst-activities, and post activities.

In pre-activities, the researcher who also acted as a teacher greeted the students nicely. Then, teacher lead the studentse attention by asking some questions related to the material which is going to be taught. This was done to recall the student knowledge of the words and create positive attitude. This activity was planned for each session.

In whilst-activities, the researcher who acted as classroom teacher applied Think-Pair-Share technique for teaching. The researcher divided the students in pair then gave the students text or a story. The researcher told the role to the students. The roles were firstly, asking the students to think. The teacher begins to spark student thinking with a question. The students then pause to think about the question. The think step may require students merely to be quiet for a few moments
and ponder their thoughts about the question. They may write some thoughts in response to the question. Second, the students pair up to talk about the answer of the questions. They compared and identify the answer with their pair then note it down. Third, researcher asks the students to share the answer of the question. The students share with one another first and then the research calls for pairs to share their thinking with the others in the class. The time allocation for these whilst-activities was about 40 minutes in each session.

Post-teaching activity, the researcher administered reflection. Reflection was administered by the end of each session. The students submitted the test if they have finished the test. Reflection was actually intended to measure the students progressing ability in reading comprehension. The students" answers are collected then scored dichotomously that in every correct answer was given one point and zero for every incorrect answer. The post-test in each cycle was planned.
c. Observing

In this step, the students responses towards the implementation of think pair-share technique were observed. The researcher analyzed the results of students ability in comprehending the texts after the implementation of think pair-share in each cycles. In addition, the researcher also analyze the result of questionnaire of each cycle to
know the students ${ }^{\text {ec }}$ responses toward the application of think-pairshare technique.
d. Reflecting

Reflection is the activity that have purpose to analyze, understand, get conclusion based on observation of part that need correction or perfection part that have filled the target. The researcher will know the strength and weakness from action by reflecting. The researcher applies the date for evaluation of making improvement the next cycle. It means that classroom action research is actually helped from process of learning in the class that utilized a systematic planning.

## 2. Cycle 2

a. Planning
1). Researcher identified the problems and found the problem from the first cycle.
2). The researcher made a lesson plan to teach reading.
3).The researcher prepared the material, technique, and media of teaching reading.
4). The researcher prepared observation and evaluation sheet.
b. Acting

The researcher realized the lesson plan. Showing the new instrument, explaining slowly and clearly and the end the researcher get students complete post-test II.

## c. Observing

The researcher observed and collected the data when learning process was done.
d. Reflecting

Reflecting the result of cycle 1 used to repair and develop action in cycle 2. After that, the researcher analyzed the test result (pre-test and post-test). After scoring the students' work finished, the researcher knew there is any improve after treatment, if the students have higher score in their post-test.

## D. The Data Collection Technique

In collection the data, the researcher used test and observation. Test as the instrument consist of pre-test and post-test.

1. Test

In this research, the researcher gives the students two tests that are pretest and post-test to know the improvement of students' reading comprehension ability. The kind of this test is written test with multiple choice test. The test is given to know the students' achievement before and after learning process.
a. Pre-test

The pre-test would be given before applying treatment through Think Pair Share (TPS) in order to know ability of the students in reading comprehension. The researcher gives the students some questions that related to the text. The researcher uses multiple choice questions to
assess the students' reading comprehension. Thus, the researcher conducted the treatment after giving pre-test to the students.
b. Post-test

Post-test was given at the end of cycle 1 . It was continued in cycle 2 if there was no upgrade achievement from students based on their result pre test between post tests.

## 2. Observation

In this research, the researcher observes the application of Think Pair Share (TPS) technique and the students' activities in the learning process to know how the process of learning is held. The researcher made the observation sheet that contains of list of the students' activities.
3. Documentation

Documentation is a way that use a written source such as public documentation (like newspaper, magazines, minutes of meetings, official reports) or private documents (like journals, diaries, letters and emails). Document is very important to collect data which is required by the researcher. In this research, the researcher takes the data from the school, such as the total of the students, the learning equipment from the school, the history of school, the teacher and staff in the school, and condition of the school.

## 4. Field Note

The functions of field note is note from shorthand of events, observations that took place in the field. They are composed well after the fact as incxact notes to oneself and represent simply one of many levels of set off by experience.

## E. The Research Instrument

## 1. Instrument Blueprint

In this research, the instrument is designed and compiled with the indicators which have been specified. To get the data, the researcher used pre-test and post-test instrument for the experimental class. The instrument that used as follows:

Table 5.
Instrument Blueprint

| No. | Variable | Indicator | Item | Form |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | Variable X, <br> TPS Technique | $>$ The students should be <br> accountable their <br> responses <br> $>$ <br> The students should be <br> confidence in sharing <br> their ideas |  |  |
| $>$ The students should |  |  |  |  |
| process information, |  |  |  |  |
| communication, |  |  |  |  |
| thinking, review of |  |  |  |  |
| material, and check prior |  |  |  |  |
| knowledge. |  |  |  |  |$\quad$| ( |
| :--- |


| 2. | Variable Y, <br> Reading <br> Comprehension <br> Ability | The students are able to <br> identify the meaning of <br> ideas from the text, | 5 | Multiple <br> Choice |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $>$The students should <br> identify the <br> communicative purpose <br> of the text, |  |  |  |  |
| $>$The students are able to <br> identify the main idea <br> from the text, |  |  |  |  |
| $>$The students should be <br> gotten the information <br> that included in the text. | 7 | Multiple <br> Choice |  |  |

## 2. Instrument Calibration

Instrument calibration used to know the validity and reliability instrument degree. Validity has three distinct aspects; content validity, creation validity and construct validity. The researcher will use content validity based on the syllabus and materials at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur.

## F. The Data Analysis Technique

Data analysis will be conducted by step by taking the average score of the pre- test and post- test. Furthermore to know the gain, the writer will compare between pre- test and post- test. Then, the result is matched by the minimum standard in this school at least 75 . If from cycle 1 , there are some students not successful, so the researcher must conduct cycle 2 . The minimum cycle in classroom action research is two cycle. If from cycle 2 all of the students were successful, the cycle able to stop until cycle 2 only.

The data analysis have been gotten from learning process such as the students activity in learning process, it can be inferred that the result used the formula as follow: ${ }^{25}$

$$
P=\frac{\sum X}{N} \times 100 \%
$$

Note :
$P=$ Percentage
$\sum \mathrm{x}=$ Total Score of the Students
$\mathrm{N}=$ Total of Students.

The writer analyzed the data and related the result of the treatment. To find the average score, the data was analyzed by using: ${ }^{26}$

$$
\bar{X}=\frac{\sum X}{N}
$$

Explanation:
$\bar{X} \quad=$ Mean or average score
$\mathrm{N} \quad=$ The total number of respondents
$\sum \mathrm{X}=$ The number of students

[^14]
## G. The Indicator of Succes

The curriculum used in MAN 1 Lampung Timur suggests that the students minimum score is 75 . This research was regarded to be successfully done if $80 \%$ of students under study have obtained 75 in reading comprehension.

## CHAPTER IV

## RESULT OF THE RESEARCH

## A. Description of the Research Location

The general description that assessed is as the complementary data. It is subjectively concerned in condition of school namely history of school, geographical of school, building condition of school, and structure of organizational school.

## 1. The History of MAN 1 Lampung Timur

MAN 1 Lampung Timur is located on Jl. Kampus 38 B Banjarrejo Batanghari, East Lampung. This school was established on 1968 by a society figure of Central Lampung. The name of MAN 1 Lampung Timur had been changed due to the division of districts in Central Lampung. In 1999, Central Lampung divided into three districts namely Central Lampung, East Lampung, and Metro City. MAN 1 Lampung Timur was the oldest state Islamic senior high school that has the boarding school in Lampung Timur

Since it was established the headmaster of the school had been changed as follow:
a. H. Sanuri, BA (1968-1992)
b. Machrudi (1992-2005)
c. Drs. H. Moh. Luthfie' Aziz (2005-2016)
d. Drs. H. Imam Sakroni (2016 - until now).

## 2. Building Condition and School Facilities

MAN 1 Lampung Timur has the satisfy facilities to support the learning activity. Specifically, the facilities as follows:

Table 6.
Facilities in MAN 1 Lampung Timur in academic year 2017/2018

| No. | Name of Room | Number of Unit |
| :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 1. | Classroom | 24 |
| 2. | Headmaster's room | 1 |
| 3. | Teachers' room | 1 |
| 4. | Administration's room | 1 |
| 5. | Library | 1 |
| 6. | UKS | 1 |
| 7. | Counseling room | 1 |
| 8. | Laboratory | 3 |
| 9. | Auditorium | 1 |
| 10. | Masque | 1 |
| 11. | Toilet | 4 |
| 12. | Boarding House | 2 |
| 13. | Kitchen | 2 |

Source: Documentation of MAN 1 Lampung Timur in the academic year 2017/2018 on November $25^{\text {th }} 2017$.

## 3. Total of the Students at MAN 1 Lampung Timur

Total of the students divided some classes that can be identified as follows:

Table 7.
The number of students at MAN 1 Lampung Timur in academic year 2017/2018

| No. | Class | Sex |  | Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Male | Female |  |
| 1. | X IPA | 46 | 85 | 131 |
| 2. | X IPS | 54 | 90 | 144 |
| 3. | X IAI | 24 | 33 | 57 |
| 4. | XI IPA | 39 | 75 | 114 |
| 5. | XI IPS | 54 | 83 | 137 |


| 6. | XI IAI | 12 | 23 | 35 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | XII IPA | 39 | 60 | 99 |
| 8. | XII IPS | 52 | 97 | 149 |
| 9. | XII IAI | 7 | 10 | 17 |
|  |  |  |  |  |

Source: Documentation of MAN 1 Lampung Timur in the academic year 2017/2018 on November $25^{\text {th }} 2017$.

## 4. Vision and Mission of MAN 1 Lampung Timur

## a. Vision

"Morality, Excellence in Achievement, Professional, and Religious."
Indicated by:

1) Focusing on the modern potential of future.
2) Balancing on the rules and wish of society.
3) Achieving the superiority.
4) Improving the spirit and commit of all members.
5) Improving the better changes.
6) Directing the mission strategy steps.
b. Mission
7) Implement the learning process and affective coaching.
8) Implement coaching Al-Islam regularly, integrated and programmed.
9) Implement and improve the application of science, technology and art.
10) Improved the members of school development in religion, work hard, democratic, critics, creative, tolerance and professional.
11) Carry out the development of facilities and infrastructure.

## 5. School Map of MAN 1 Lampung Timur

a. School's name : MAN 1 Lampung Timur
b. School's address
Jl. Kampus 38 B Banjarrejo Batanghari, East Lampung.
c. School map :

Figure 2.
School Map of MAN 1 Lampung Timur


Notes:

1. Headmaster's room
2. Teachers' room
3. Administration's room
4. Classroom
5. Library
6. UKS
7. Counseling room
8. Laboratory
9. Auditorium
10. Masque
11. Toilet
12. Boarding House
13. Kitchen

## 6. Organizational School

| Headmaster | : Drs. H. Imam Sakroni |
| :--- | :--- |
| Vise of headmaster (Curriculum) | : Drs. Moh. Jaeni, M.Pfis. |
| Vise of headmaster (Students) | : Drs. Ali Idris, M.Pkim. |
| Chief of committee | : Drs. Suwarno, M.Pd. |
| Chief of administration | : Pariyono M. |
| Librarian | : Dra. Ismalina |
| Chief of language laboratory | : Muhzin Nawawi, S.Pd, M.Pd.I. |
| Chief of science laboratory | : Dra. Mursida |
| Chief of computer laboratory | : Arif Rahmayadi, S.Kom. |
| Chief of Counseling | : Dra. Hj. Isti Rochmad Haryani |
| UKS advisor | : Indrawari, S.Psi. |
| OSIS advisor | : Santoso, S.Ag. |
| Scoutmaster | : Johan Irfan Nurkholis, S.Pd. |
| Sport advisor | : Dannu Irwan Saputra, S.Pd. |


| ROHIS advisor | : Muhammad Nurdin, S.Pd.I. |
| :--- | :--- |
| English Club advisor | $:$ Muhzin Nawawi, S.Pd, M.Pd.I. |
| KIR advisor | $:$ Sulistyowati, S.Si. |

## B. Description of the Research

This research used classroom action research. It was conducted in two cycles. It was mentioned before each cycle comprised of planning, action, observation and reflection. In relation to the problem in the class and the analysis, the researcher made lesson plan. The material of classroom action research was utilizing Think Pair Share (TPS) technique to improve the students' reading comprehension ability.

## 1. Action and Learning at Pre-Test

a. Pre-test activity

The learning was conducted on Friday, November $10^{\text {th }}, 2017$ at 12.45 until 14.15 . All the students had already prepared when the teaching time came. The researcher greeted the students. The researcher told the students that the researcher would conduct the research in their class in order to know their ability of reading comprehension before doing the action of the classroom action research. The pre-test was administrated to the students to be finished individually. The kind of the test was multiple choices consisted of 20 items.
b. The students' pre-test result

Table 8.
Students' Pre-test score

| No. | Students' Name | Score | Note |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | A. N. | 75 | Complete |
| 2. | A.S. | 70 | Incomplete |
| 3. | A. M. | 45 | Incomplete |
| 4. | A. R. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 5. | A. P. | 75 | Complete |
| 6. | A. | 80 | Complete |
| 7. | A. N. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 8. | D. K. | 45 | Incomplete |
| 9. | E. F. | 75 | Complete |
| 10. | F. L. | 70 | Incomplete |
| 11. | F. M. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 12. | H. A. | 75 | Complete |
| 13. | I. S. | 75 | Complete |
| 14. | I. R. | 40 | Incomplete |
| 15. | K. K. | 55 | Incomplete |
| 16. | M. F. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 17. | M. N. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 18. | N. E. | 75 | Complete |
| 19. | R. F. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 20. | R. R. | 50 | Incomplete |
| 21. | R. M. | 75 | Complete |
| 22. | R. R. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 23. | S. M. | 40 | Incomplete |
| 24. | S. L. | 55 | Incomplete |
| 25. | S. S. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 26. | S. S. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 27. | T. P. | 50 | Incomplete |
| 28. | T. I. | 50 | Incomplete |
| 29. | T. A. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 30. | Y. P. | 80 | Complete |
| 31. | Z. R. | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | Complete |
| Total |  |  |  |
| Average | 80 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Table 9.
Frequency of students' score in Pre-test

| No. | Grade | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\geq 75$ | Complete | 10 | $32,26 \%$ |
| 2. | $<75$ | Incomplete | 21 | $67,74 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |

Source: The result score of reading pre-test at XI IPS 3 class of MAN 1 Lampung Timur on November $10^{\text {th }} 2017$.

Based on the data above, it could be inferred that 21 students ( $67,74 \%$ ) were not successful and 10 other students $(32,26 \%)$ were successful. The successful students were those who got the minimum mastery criteria at MAN 1 Lampung Timur at least 75 . The successful students were fewer than those unsuccessful students. From the pretest result, the researcher got the average of 63 , so the result was unsatisfactory. Therefore, the researcher used the Think Pair Share (TPS) technique to improve the students' reading comprehension ability.

## 2. Cycle I

## a. Planning

In the planning stage, the researcher and the collaborator prepared several things related to the teaching and learning process such as: prepared the lesson plan, made the instrument that would be examined as post test in the cycle I, prepared the material, made the observation sheet of the students' activity, identified the problem and found the causes of problem at the beginning and the end of learning activities.

The researcher also planned to give evaluation to measure the students' mastery on the given materials.

## b. Acting

1) The first meeting

The first meeting was conducted on Saturday, November $11^{\text {th }}$ 2017 at 13.15 until 14.45 and followed by 31 students. The meeting was started by praying, greeting and checking the attendance list.

In this stage, the condition of the class was effective because the collaborator handed the researcher to make sure the students' effectiveness before the researcher was doing research in the class. It showed that most of students gave their full nice attention to the researcher when the study time came.

For the beginning, the researcher started to introduce the procedure of technique that will be used in the learning process, then started to deliver the material. The researcher gave the text about 'is smoking good for us?' and asked the students to read it. Then, the researcher said "Well class, now I want to ask you. What is the type of the text?" Some students answered "recount text...descriptive text", some students kept silent, and one students answered "analytical exposition text". The researcher said "Good! The type is analytical exposition text. Today we will discuss together about analytical exposition text."

The researcher explained that the used text in the teaching learning was organized in the analytical exposition form. The generic structure included thesis-arguments-reiteration. The purpose of the text is to attempt to persuade the reader to believe something by presenting one side of the argument.

Then, a student asked "Miss, what is thesis?" The researcher answered "Thesis is introducing the topic and indicating the writer's point of view".

Next, the researcher announced the member of groups that consist of five students. The researcher asked the members of group to read individualy about the given text. Then, the researcher chooses student's number. The researcher announces discussion partners. (Example: the researcher chooses students 1 and 2 as the partners and the same time, students 3 and 4 discuss their idea). The researcher asks the students to discuss with other pair in the group. The researcher asked the students to find difficult words and asked it to her. After that, when the discussion time was up, the researcher asks the students to share their ideas in the whole of classroom after the reseacher calls students randomly system.

In this stage, the students were actively following the teaching learning process, because they worked it on the group, so they would discuss when found the difficulties. But, there were still trouble faced to the students. Such as, some of the students were
not confidence to share the ideas in front of the others, they still felt shy, and because of their lack of desire in reading English text for some students, they lost the discussion time.
2) The second meeting

The third meeting was conducted on Friday, November $17^{\text {th }}$ 2017 at 13.15 until 14.45. This meeting was used to post test 1. The researcher began the lesson by praying, greeting, checking attendance list and asking the students' condition. The researcher gave the ice breaking and reviewed the last material shortly then gave the post test 1 . Kinds of the test were multiple choices which consisted of 20 items. The result of the students' test in post test 1 was better than test in pre-test before.

Table 10.
Students' Post Test 1 score

| No. | Students' Name | Score | Note |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | A. N. | 80 | Complete |
| 2. | A.S. | 75 | Complete |
| 3. | A. M. | 55 | Incomplete |
| 4. | A. R. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 5. | A. P. | 85 | Complete |
| 6. | A. | 85 | Complete |
| 7. | A. N. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 8. | D. K. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 9. | E. . . | 75 | Complete |
| 10. | F. L. | 75 | Complete |
| 11. | F. M. | 75 | Complete |
| 12. | H. A. | 80 | Complete |
| 13. | I. S. | 75 | Complete |
| 14. | I. R. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 15. | K. K. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 16. | M. F. | 75 | Complete |
| 17. | M. N. | 75 | Complete |


| 18. | N. E. | 80 | Complete |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 19. | R. F. | 75 | Complete |
| 20. | R. R. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 21. | R. M. | 80 | Complete |
| 22. | R. R. | 75 | Complete |
| 23. | S. M. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 24. | S. L. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 25. | S. S. | 80 | Complete |
| 26. | S. S. | 75 | Complete |
| 27. | T. P. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 28. | T. I. | 60 | Incomplete |
| 29. | T. A. | 80 | Complete |
| 30. | Y. P. | 80 | Complete |
| 31. | Z. R. | 95 | Complete |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 2 6 0}$ |  |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |  |  |

Table 11.
Frequency of students' score in Post test 1

| No. | Grade | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\geq 75$ | Complete | 20 | $64,52 \%$ |
| 2. | $<75$ | Incomplete | 11 | $35,48 \%$ |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Source: The result score of reading post test 1 at XI IPS 3 class of MAN 1 Lampung Timur on November $17^{\text {th }} 2017$.

Based on the result above, it could be seen that 20 students $(64,52 \%)$ got score up to the standard and 11 students $(35,48 \%)$ got score less than the standard. It was higher than the result of pre-test. The criterion of students who were successful in mastering the material should get minimum mastery criteria, at least 75. Learning process was said success when $80 \%$ students got score $\geq 75$. The fact showed that the result was unsatisfying.

## c. Observing

In observation, the researcher presented two meetings in cycle I of learning to find information of the text in reading lesson. The researcher explained the Think Pair Share (TPS) technique to the students. The students confused about what they should do and got the difficulty to find the information of the text.

In the second meeting, the researcher explained Think Pair Share (TPS) technique before giving assignments. In this meeting, the students began active. They also began to be interested in teaching and learning process. In the post test 1 , there were 20 of 31 students got good score. Although only 20 students who passed the minimum score, but the result of the students' test was better that the students' pre-test before giving treatment.

Table 12.
Students' activities result in Cycle I

| No. | Name | First Meeting |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Act | \% |
| 1. | A. N. | 4 | 80 |
| 2. | A.S. | 2 | 40 |
| 3. | A. M. | 2 | 40 |
| 4. | A. R. | 2 | 40 |
| 5. | A. P. | 5 | 100 |
| 6. | A. | 5 | 100 |
| 7. | A. N. | 2 | 40 |
| 8. | D. K. | 3 | 60 |
| 9. | E. F. | 3 | 60 |
| 10. | F. L. | 3 | 60 |
| 11. | F. M. | 3 | 60 |
| 12. | H. A. | 4 | 80 |
| 13. | I. S. | 2 | 40 |
| 14. | I. R. | 2 | 40 |


| 15. | K. K. | 2 | 40 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 16. | M. F. | 3 | 60 |
| 17. | M. N. | 3 | 60 |
| 18. | N. E. | 4 | 80 |
| 19. | R. F. | 3 | 60 |
| 20. | R. R. | 3 | 60 |
| 21. | R. M. | 2 | 60 |
| 22. | R. R. | 2 | 40 |
| 23. | S. M. | 2 | 40 |
| 24. | S. L. | 2 | 40 |
| 25. | S. S. | 3 | 60 |
| 26. | S. S. | 2 | 40 |
| 27. | T. P. | 2 | 40 |
| 28. | T. I. | 2 | 40 |
| 29. | T. A. | 4 | 80 |
| 30. | Y. P. | 4 | 80 |
| 31. | Z. R. | 5 | 100 |

Indicators of the students' activities that observed are:

1) Accountable about their responses
2) Confidence in sharing ideas
3) Activity in group work
4) Making note from the material
5) Doing the assignment

Scoring:
Mark 1, with percentage 20\% = low
Mark 2, with percentage $40 \%=$ enough
Mark 3, with percentage $60 \%=$ good
Mark 4, with percentage $80 \%=$ very good
Mark 5, with percentage $100 \%=$ excellent

## d. Reflecting

Generally, there was improving in the reading comprehension ability by using Think Pair Share (TPS) technique in this cycle. It indicated the score and the students' activities were improved and good enough. Although it was good enough, there were some problems in learning process that must be corrected in the next cycle.

## 3. Cycle II

The action in the cycle I was not success enough, the cycle must be continued to cycle II. Cycle II was used to repair the weakness in the cycle I. the steps of the cycle II as follows:

## a. Planning

Based on the activities in the cycle I, the process at cycle II was focused on the problem on cycle I. There were some weaknesses on cycle I. Then, the researcher and collaborator planned to give the material for students in reading comprehension ability by analitycal exsposition text with the technique of Think Pair Share (TPS).

The researcher and collaborator prepared the lesson plan, observation sheet of the students' activities, identified the problem, and found the causes of problem at the first and the last of learning activities. The researcher also planned to give evaluation to measure the students' mastery on the given materials.

## b. Acting

1) The first meeting

The first meeting was conducted on Saturday, November $18^{\text {th }}$ 2017 at 12.45 until 14.15 that is followed by 31 students. The researcher greeted the students and checked the students' attendance list then the researcher asked question related to the topic orally. The researcher continued the material in the last meeting, explained the generic structure and tenses in the text.

Next, the researcher gave the tasks that should be discussed by the group and all of the members should know the answer., the researcher announced the member of groups that consist of five students. The researcher asked the members of group to read individualy about the given text. Then, the researcher chose student's number. The researcher announced discussion partners. (Example: the researcher chose students 1 and 2 as the partners and the same time, students 3 and 4 discuss their idea). The researcher asked the students to discuss with other pair in the group. After that, when the discussion time was up, the researcher asks the students to share their ideas in the whole of classroom after the reseacher calls students randomly system.

In this stage, the students were very active in following the lesson. It might be caused they could adapt the condition of the class. The students looked getting more spirit and enthusiasm in
following learning process. It looked from their activeness in the class. The good condition of the environment of the class was very helpful in teaching learning process.

Then, the researcher discussed and gave the explanation to all of the students about the problem of reading comprehension ability that often faced by the students through the effective technique; Think Pair Share (TPS) technique.
2) The second meeting

The second meeting was conducted on Friday, November $24^{\text {th }}$ 2017. This meeting used to post test 2 at the end of cycle II. The researcher gave post test to the students. In this meeting almost all of the students could answer well. It could be seen from the result of the post test 2 . There were only 6 of 35 students got the score under the minimum mastery criteria in MAN 1 Lampung Timur.

Table 13.
Students' Post Test 2 score

| No. | Students' Name | Score | Note |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | A. N. | 85 | Complete |
| 2. | A.S. | 75 | Complete |
| 3. | A. M. | 65 | Incomplete |
| 4. | A. R. | 75 | Complete |
| 5. | A. P. | 90 | Complete |
| 6. | A. | 90 | Complete |
| 7. | A. N. | 70 | Incomplete |
| 8. | D. K. | 70 | Incomplete |
| 9. | E. F. | 75 | Complete |
| 10. | F. L. | 75 | Complete |
| 11. | F. M. | 75 | Complete |
| 12. | H. A. | 80 | Complete |
| 13. | I. S. | 75 | Complete |


| 14. | I. R. | 75 | Complete |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 15. | K. K. | 75 | Complete |
| 16. | M. F. | 75 | Complete |
| 17. | M. N. | 75 | Complete |
| 18. | N. E. | 80 | Complete |
| 19. | R. F. | 75 | Complete |
| 20. | R. R. | 70 | Incomplete |
| 21. | R. M. | 80 | Complete |
| 22. | R. R. | 75 | Complete |
| 23. | S. M. | 75 | Complete |
| 24. | S. L. | 80 | Complete |
| 25. | S. S. | 85 | Complete |
| 26. | S. S. | 75 | Complete |
| 27. | T. P. | 75 | Complete |
| 28. | T. I. | 70 | Incomplete |
| 29. | T. A. | 80 | Complete |
| 30. | Y. P. | 85 | Complete |
| 31. | Z. R. | 95 | Complete |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 4 0 0}$ |  |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |  |  |

Table 14.
Frequency of students' score in Post test 2

| No. | Grade | Category | Frequency | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | $\geq 75$ | Complete | 26 | $83,87 \%$ |
| 2. | $<75$ | Incomplete | 5 | $16,13 \%$ |
| Total |  |  |  | $\mathbf{3 1}$ |

Source: The result score of reading post test 2 at XI IPS 3 class of MAN 1 Lampung Timur on November $24^{\text {th }} 2017$.

Based on the result above, it could be inferred that 26 students $(83,87 \%)$ were successful and 5 other students $(16,13 \%)$ were not successful. From the post test 2 results, the researcher got the average of 77. It was higher than post test 1 in cycle I.

## c. Observing

The observing was done by the researcher that represented about two meetings in cycle II. In this stage the students were more active and enthusiastic in following the teaching and learning process. It could be seen as follow:

Table 15.
Students' activities result in Cycle II

| No. | Name | First Meeting |  |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Act | $\%$ |
| 1. | A. N. | 5 | 100 |
| 2. | A.S. | 4 | 80 |
| 3. | A. M. | 3 | 60 |
| 4. | A. R. | 3 | 60 |
| 5. | A. P. | 5 | 100 |
| 6. | A. | 5 | 100 |
| 7. | A. N. | 3 | 60 |
| 8. | D. K. | 3 | 60 |
| 9. | E. F. | 3 | 60 |
| 10. | F. L. | 3 | 60 |
| 11. | F. M. | 3 | 60 |
| 12. | H. A. | 4 | 80 |
| 13. | I. S. | 3 | 60 |
| 14. | I. R. | 3 | 60 |
| 15. | K. K. | 3 | 60 |
| 16. | M. F. | 4 | 80 |
| 17. | M. N. | 4 | 80 |
| 18. | N. E. | 5 | 100 |
| 19. | R. F. | 4 | 80 |
| 20. | R. R. | 3 | 60 |
| 21. | R. M. | 5 | 100 |
| 22. | R. R. | 4 | 80 |
| 23. | S. M. | 4 | 80 |
| 24. | S. L. | 5 | 100 |
| 25. | S. S. | 5 | 100 |
| 26. | S. S. | 4 | 80 |
| 27. | T. P. | 4 | 80 |
| 28. | T. I. | 3 | 60 |
| 29. | T. A. | 5 | 100 |
|  |  |  |  |


| 30. | Y. P. | 5 | 100 |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 31. | Z. R. | 5 | 100 |

Indicators of the students' activities that observed are:

1) Accountable about their responses
2) Confidence in $s$ haring ideas
3) Activity in group work
4) Making note from the material
5) Doing the assignment

Scoring:
Mark 1, with percentage $20 \%=$ low
Mark 2, with percentage $40 \%=$ enough
Mark 3, with percentage $60 \%=$ good
Mark 4, with percentage $80 \%=$ very good
Mark 5, with percentage $100 \%=$ excellent

## d. Reflecting

From the result of learning process in cycle II the researcher analyzed that generally by using Think Pair Share (TPS) technique, the reading comprehension ability would improve.

Most of the students enjoyed when they were studying by using TPS and it also made the students had good interested in reading English, although at the beginning lesson before treatment they felt confused.

Based on the observation of learning process in cycle II, it could be inferred that the result of cycle II was success. The researcher felt
satisfied about the result of the research. The researcher concluded that this research was successful and would be not continued in the next cycle.

## C. Interpretation

## 1. Action and Learning Result in Cycle I and Cycle II

There was an increasing score of the students' pre-test, post test 1 and post test 2 in cycle I and cycle II. This is the result score as follow:

Table 16.
Result score of students' Pre-test, Post Test 1, and Post Test 2

| No | Name | Pre- <br> test | Post- <br> test 1 | Improving <br> \% | Post- <br> test 2 | Improving <br> \% | Note |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | A. N. | 75 | 80 | $6,67 \%$ | 85 | $6,25 \%$ | Improve |
| 2. | A.S. | 70 | 75 | $7,14 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 3. | A. M. | 45 | 55 | $22,22 \%$ | 65 | $18,18 \%$ | Improve |
| 4. | A. R. | 60 | 60 | $0 \%$ | 75 | $25 \%$ | Improve |
| 5. | A. P. | 75 | 85 | 13,33 | 90 | $5,88 \%$ | Improve |
| 6. | A. | 80 | 90 | $12,5 \%$ | 90 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 7. | A. N. | 60 | 60 | $0 \%$ | 70 | $16,67 \%$ | Improve |
| 8. | D. K. | 45 | 65 | $44,44 \%$ | 70 | $7,69 \%$ | Improve |
| 9. | E. F. | 75 | 75 | $0 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Constant |
| 10. | F. L. | 70 | 75 | $7,14 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 11. | F. M. | 65 | 75 | $15,38 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 12. | H. A. | 75 | 80 | $6,67 \%$ | 80 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 13. | I. S. | 75 | 75 | $0 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Constant |
| 14. | I. R. | 40 | 60 | $50 \%$ | 75 | $25 \%$ | Improve |
| 15. | K. K. | 55 | 65 | $18,18 \%$ | 75 | $15,38 \%$ | Improve |
| 16. | M. F. | 65 | 75 | $15,38 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 17. | M. N. | 60 | 75 | $25 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 18. | N. E. | 75 | 80 | $6,67 \%$ | 80 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 19. | R. F. | 65 | 75 | $7,69 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 20. | R. R. | 50 | 60 | $20 \%$ | 70 | $16.67 \%$ | Improve |
| 21. | R. M. | 75 | 80 | $6,67 \%$ | 80 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 22. | R. R. | 65 | 75 | $15,38 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 23. | S. M. | 40 | 65 | $62,5 \%$ | 75 | 15,38 | Improve |
| 24. | S. L. | 55 | 65 | $18,18 \%$ | 80 | $23,08 \%$ | Improve |
| 25. | S. S. | 60 | 80 | $33,33 \%$ | 85 | $6,25 \%$ | Improve |
| 26. | S. S. | 65 | 75 | $15,38 \%$ | 75 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 27. | T. P. | 50 | 65 | $30 \%$ | 75 | $15,38 \%$ | Improve |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28. | T. I. | 50 | 60 | $20 \%$ | 70 | $16,67 \%$ | Improve |
| 29. | T. A. | 60 | 80 | $33,33 \%$ | 80 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| 30. | Y. P. | 80 | 80 | $0 \%$ | 85 | $6,25 \%$ | Improve |
| 31. | Z. R. | 80 | 95 | $18,75 \%$ | 95 | $0 \%$ | Improve |
| Total Score | $\mathbf{1 9 4 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 6 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 4 0 0}$ |  |  |  |
| Highest Score | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | $\mathbf{9 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{9 5}$ |  |  |  |
| Average | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{7 3}$ |  | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |  |  |  |
| Lowest Score | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |  | $\mathbf{6 5}$ |  |  |  |

From the increasing each cycle, it could be inferred that the use of Think Pair Share (TPS) technique could improve the students' reading comprehension ability, because the students had understood about the information of the text. It could be seen from the average 73, the data become 77 in the cycle II. It means that the students could achieve the target, the target is $80 \%$ students could gain score $\geq 75$.

Furthermore, the improving score in each cycle could be seen in the graph below:

Graph 1.
Percentages of the students' score in Pre-test, Post test 1 and Post test 2


There was an improving of the students who got score up to the standard from the pre-test to the post test 1 and from post test 1 to the post test 2. From 10 students ( $32,26 \%$ ) in pre-test to 20 students (64,52\%) in post test 1 and became 26 students $(83,87 \%)$ in post test 2 .

The research was success if $80 \%$ of students able to achieving the minimum mastery criteria (MMC), at least 75. Based on the result pretest and post test, it could be seen that Think Pair Share (TPS) technique was able to improve the students' reading comprehension significantly related to the students' average before and after given the treatment. The
students' average in the pre-test was 63 , in post test 1 was 73 , and in post test 2 was 77 .

Based on the explanation above, the researcher concluded that the research was successful because the result score of the students had achieved the indicator of success that was $80 \%$ with the MMC was 75 .

## 2. Result of the Students' Activities

This observation sheet result was gotten when the learning process happened by the researcher. The result of the students' activities in cycle I and cycle II could be seen as follow:

Table 17.
Result of the students' activities in Cycle I \& Cycle II

| No | Students' Activity | Cycle I | Cycle II | Increasing |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Accountable about their <br> responses | $41,93 \%$ | $70,97 \%$ | $29,04 \%$ |
| 2. | Confidence in sharing <br> ideas | $35,48 \%$ | $67,74 \%$ | $32,26 \%$ |
| 3. | Activity in group work | $51,61 \%$ | $77,42 \%$ | $25,81 \%$ |
| 4. | Making note from the <br> material | $67,74 \%$ | $77,42 \%$ | $9,68 \%$ |
| 5. | Doing the assignment | $96,77 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $3,23 \%$ |
|  | Percentage | $\mathbf{5 8 , 7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{7 8 , 7 1 \%}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ |

Based on the data above, it could be inferred that the students felt comfortable and active in following the teaching and learning process. Most of the students showed good improving in learning activities when Think Pair Share (TPS) technique was applied in teaching and learning process from cycle I to cycle II.

Then, the result of the students' activities could be seen in the graph below:

## Graph 2.

Comparison of students' activities in Cycle I and Cycle II


Based on the graphic above, it could be concluded that there was an improving of students' learning activity during study time came by using Think Pair Share (TPS) technique in improving the students' reading comprehension ability. It could look on the result of observation sheet when cycle I that was $58 \%$. In addition, the result observation sheet in cycle II was $81,72 \%$. Therefore, this research was stated finish and could be stopped in cycle II because the results of the students' activities had achieved the indicator of success that was $80 \%$.

## CHAPTER V

## CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

## A. Conclusion

Considering from all the data gathered in the classroom action research, the researcher concluded this research as follows:

1. The average of the students' reading score at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur in pre-test is 63 , post test 1 is 73 and in post test 2 is 77. As a result, by implementation of Think Pair Share (TPS) technique, the students' reading comprehension ability at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur can be improved. The students who gained the score at least 75 in post test 2 are 26 students ( $83,87 \%$ ). It means that more than $80 \%$ students are successful and the indicator of the research can be reached.
2. The percentage of the students' activities at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur in cycle I is $58 \%$ and there is an improvement in cycle II, it is $81,72 \%$. As a result, Think Pair Share (TPS) technique can improve the students' activities in teaching learning process at the eleventh grade of MAN 1 Lampung Timur.

## B. Suggestion

Based on the result of the research, the researcher would like to constructively give suggestions as follows:

1. It is suggested to the teacher to use Think Pair Share (TPS) as the teaching learning strategy because it could improve the students' reading comprehension ability.
2. It is suggested to the English teacher to include Think Pair Share (TPS) in teaching process. The teachers should be creatively used TPS in teaching, especially reading class, in order to engage the students to be active in learning process.
3. It is suggested to other researchers who want to develop this study to include another skill in learning English, such as speaking, listening, or writing as well as involve different subjects and also different text.
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